operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

In Adversary Proceeding 16-01029 Pre-trial conference (CC: Doc. No. 56) Pre-motion conference In the Main Case Doc. #9583 (CC: Doc. No. 9669) Hearing Regarding ResCap Borrower Claims Trust's Supplemental Objection in Support of Objection to Proof of Claim No. 3695 Filed on Behalf of Rosalind Alexander-Kasparik Transcribed by: Hana Copperman eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

```
1
 2
    APPEARANCES (Main Case):
    MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
 3
 4
          Attorneys for the ResCap Borrower Claims Trust
 5
          250 West 55th Street
 6
          New York, NY 10019
 7
 8
    BY:
          JORDAN A. WISHNEW, ESQ.
 9
          BENJAMIN W. BUTTERFIELD, ESQ.
10
11
12
    CATE LEGAL GROUP
13
          Attorneys for Rosalind Alexander-Kasparik
14
          7710 Balboa Avenue
15
          Suite 316
16
          San Diego, CA 92111
17
18
    BY: ALLAN O. CATE, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
19
20
21
    ALSO APPEARING:
22
          SARA M. LATHROP, Residential Capital Estates
23
          (TELEPHONICALLY)
24
25
                    eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250
```

operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

```
4
 1
 2
    A P P E A R A N C E S (Adversary):
          HELFAND & HELFAND
 3
 4
          Attorneys for 21st Mortgage Corporation
 5
          Empire State Building
 6
          350 Fifth Avenue
 7
          Suite 5330
 8
          New York, NY 10118
 9
10
    BY: DIANE BRADSHAW, ESQ.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                     eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250
```

operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, and INVEST VEGAS V. 21ST MORTGAGE CORB. PROCEEDINGS 1 2 THE COURT: Please be seated. We're here in Residential Capital, 12-12020. 3 4 first matter this morning is an adversary proceeding, Invest Vegas, LLC, v. 21st Mortgage Corporation. It's number 16-5 6 01029. Who's appearing in that matter? 7 MS. BRADSHAW: Counsel for defendant --THE COURT: Could you come up to the microphone, 8 9 please? 10 MS. BRADSHAW: Good morning, Your Honor. Diane Bradshaw of the firm of Helfand & Helfand. We represent 21st 11 12 Mortgage Corporation, the defendant in these proceedings, 13 Invest v. 21st Mortgage Corporation. And this --14 THE COURT: Is anybody appearing for Invest Vegas? 15 MS. BRADSHAW: No one has approached me. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. 17 MS. BRADSHAW: We have recently had this matter transferred. It was removed and then transferred, so it's new 18 19 in this court. 20 THE COURT: Right. MS. BRADSHAW: But the basis of the transfer is that 21 22 this Court retained jurisdiction pursuant to the overall case, 23 12-12020, and we seek leave to file our motion for summary 24 judgment, because no triable issue of fact exists, and we can

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

show that. And at this point we can present evidence that the

25

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, and INVEST VEGAS V. 21ST MORTGAGE CORF. automatic stay was violated by this transfer of property. 1 2 So at this point we just seek leave to file our motion for summary judgment. 3 4 THE COURT: All right. So this case was originally filed in state court in Nevada. 5 6 MS. BRADSHAW: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: 21st Mortgage removed it to the federal district court, who referred it to the bankruptcy court in 8 9 Nevada, who's now transferred it to this court. 10 MS. BRADSHAW: You have the whole story perfectly, Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. And let me make sure I understand 13 the issue correctly. The issue is whether the foreclosure sale 14 on November 14, 2012, foreclosure on a homeowner's association 15 lien, whether that lien extinguished the note and deed of trust on the subject property, which, at the time of the foreclosure 16 17 sale, you contend, was property of the debtors' estate, and whether that foreclosure violated the automatic stay under 18 19 Section 362(a)(4). 20 MS. BRADSHAW: Yes, Your Honor. Perfectly. 21 THE COURT: All right. In doing a little research I 22 read the decision of the Nevada Supreme Court in SFR 23 Investments Pool 1, LLC v. U.S. Bank, N.A., 334 P.3d 408. It's a 2014 decision from the Nevada Supreme Court, which, as I 24 25 understand it, under the applicable statutory law of Nevada, a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, and INVEST VEGAS V. 21ST MORTGAGE CORF. nonjudicial foreclosure of certain homeowner's association liens takes free and clear of a prior recorded mortgage. And I take it it's the position of 21st Century Mortgage --MS. BRADSHAW: Excuse me, Your Honor. It's just 21st Mortgage Corporation. THE COURT: I'm sorry. 21st Mortgage Corp acquired a deed of trust from Berkshire Hathaway, which, in turn, had acquired the deed of trust in a 363 sale approved by this Court. MS. BRADSHAW: Correct. THE COURT: And if I understand the papers, the foreclosure sale on November 14, 2012 occurred while the note and deed of trust remained property of the estate, the Chapter 11 estate. It had not yet been transferred to Berkshire Hathaway at that point. MS. BRADSHAW: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. And have you had any communication with Invest Vegas's counsel? MS. BRADSHAW: Not since the case has been transferred. They have not contacted us. They have been notified, and they were notified of today's hearing. THE COURT: Okay. I take it, am I correct that it's Invest Vegas's position that its acquisition -- its foreclose -- its acquisition at a foreclosure sale, the homeowner association lien essentially wiped out the prior

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, and INVEST VEGAS V. 21ST MORTGAGE CORB. recorded deed of trust? 1 MS. BRADSHAW: That is their position. 2 3 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'll grant you leave to 4 file a summary judgment motion. 5 MS. BRADSHAW: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: I saw in the papers, and I don't remember 7 which one. I read lots of the things that were filed from 8 Nevada. 9 (Pause) 10 THE COURT: Guess who was the -- am I correct it was the Nevada Bankruptcy Court, before transferring the case --11 12 MS. BRADSHAW: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: -- stated, in substance, that the 13 foreclosure had the effect of extinguishing a security interest 14 15 with no deed or trust that was property of the estate. However, that Court didn't decide whether the homeowner 16 17 association lien sale violated the automatic stay because of 18 Section 362(a)(4). Am I correct on that? 19 MS. BRADSHAW: That's exactly correct. THE COURT: Okay. 20 21 MS. BRADSHAW: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: All right. When will you be ready to file 23 your motion? 24 MS. BRADSHAW: Thank you, Your Honor. Appreciate it. 25 Have a good day.

```
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, and INVEST VEGAS V. 21ST MORTGAGE COR9.
            THE COURT: No. When will you be -- when are you
 1
 2
    going --
 3
            MS. BRADSHAW: Oh, I'm sorry.
 4
            THE COURT: I schedule matters, so we --
            MS. BRADSHAW: I'm sorry. Oh, that's right.
 5
            THE COURT: You're not quite off the hook yet.
 6
 7
            MS. BRADSHAW: Well, it's almost finished, so by early
 8
    next week?
 9
            THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
10
            MS. BRADSHAW: So do we need to call to schedule a
11
    hearing first?
12
            THE COURT: You do.
13
            MS. BRADSHAW: Okay.
14
            THE COURT: You --
            MS. BRADSHAW: Call Deanna.
15
            THE COURT: You do.
16
            MS. BRADSHAW: Okay. Very good.
17
18
            THE COURT: You call Deanna. You get a hearing date
    from her.
19
20
            MS. BRADSHAW: Very good.
21
            THE COURT: Hang on just one second while I make note.
22
            Let me look at one more thing.
            Okay. Thank you very much.
23
24
            MS. BRADSHAW: Thank you, Your Honor. Have a good
25
    day.
```

	RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, and INVEST VEGAS V. 21ST MORTGAGE CORP
1	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Wishnew?
2	MR. BUTTERFIELD: Good morning, Your Honor. Ben
3	Butterfield, Morrison & Foerster, for the Borrower Claims
4	Trust.
5	THE COURT: Thanks, Mr. Butterfield. So this is with
6	regard to the Trust's objection to claim 3695 of Rosalind
7	Alexander-Kasparik?
8	MR. BUTTERFIELD: Correct, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: All right. Is Ms. Kasparik on the phone,
10	or is her counsel on the phone?
11	MR. CATE: Good morning, Your Honor. Allan Cate on
12	behalf of Rosalind Alexander-Kasparik.
13	THE COURT: All right. Just tell me your name one
14	more time.
15	MR. CATE: Allan Cate on behalf of Rosalind Alexander-
16	Kasparik.
17	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Butterfield?
18	MR. BUTTERFIELD: Your Honor, we also have Sara
19	Lathrop, our declarant, on the phone today.
20	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Go ahead.
21	MR. BUTTERFIELD: Your Honor, at a hearing on January
22	21st this Court sustained the Trust's objection to all but two
23	claims asserted by claimant: negligence and promissory
24	estoppel.
25	Unlike the other claims, which the Court dismissed on

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, and INVEST VEGAS V. 21ST MORTGAGE CORP.

res judicata and collateral estoppel grounds, these two claims were not dismissed, because the California trial court vacated its judgment as to GMAC Mortgage on those claims. This Court stated that it would consider these two claims on the merits and requested additional briefing from the parties.

Your Honor, in accordance with the Court's instructions, the Borrower Trust filed its supplemental objection at docket number 9853. Claimant filed her response at docket number 9644. And the Trust followed with its reply at docket number 9669.

Your Honor, the Trust believes that the claimant's promissory estoppel and negligence claims are both barred by collateral estoppel and also fail on the merits.

Let me briefly go through the collateral estoppel argument, and then I'll turn to the merits.

Your Honor, the basis for claimant's remaining claims is a third amended complaint filed by claimant on April 14, 2015 with the California trial court. The third amended complaint asserted two claims against Freddie Mac and GMAC, promissory estoppel and negligence. Freddie Mac filed a demur to the third amended complaint, which the California trial court sustained by a minute order dated October 23, 2015.

Your Honor, the California trial court vacated the minute order as to GMAC Mortgage on December 31, 2015.

THE COURT: Because GMAC hadn't joined in the demur?

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, and INVEST VEGAS V. 21ST MORTGAGE CORP.

MR. BUTTERFIELD: Correct, Your Honor.

Therefore the minute order has no res judicata effect as to GMAC Mortgage.

Even though the trial court vacated the minute order as to GMAC Mortgage, the order is still valid as to Freddie Mac, and therefore can serve as the basis for collateral estoppel.

Your Honor, the claimant fully litigated both of her remaining claims against Freddie Mac before the California trial court and lost. In paragraph 12 of her response, docket 9644, claimant admits that the minute order "effectively decided identical issues" -- it's a quote -- to those before the Court today.

Your Honor, the Court should not permit claimant to now relitigate those same issues here, which would be a waste of judicial resources and could potentially result in two conflicting orders, both adjudicating the same issues and the same facts.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BUTTERFIELD: If you have no further questions, I'm going to turn to the merits.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. BUTTERFIELD: I'll first address the promissory estoppel claim, and then I'll address negligence.

Your Honor, as a preliminary matter, the Trust would

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, and INVEST VEGAS V. 21ST MORTGAGE CORP.

like to note that claimant's pleadings with the Court have not addressed the Trust's objections to her promissory estoppel claim. Therefore this portion of the Trust's objection is essentially uncontested.

Under California law, to maintain a claim for promissory estoppel a plaintiff must essentially plead two things: a clear promise and detrimental reliance.

Your Honor, claimant has amended her complaint three times, and has filed responses to our objection and supplemental objection, but has entirely failed to allege any clear promise by GMAC Mortgage. Instead, claimant relies on a statement, allegedly made by a representative of Freddie Mac, that she was "advised" on July 3, 2012, the day before the trustee sale, that, and I quote, "the sale was being postponed and that she should check the electronic system to make sure the sale was actually postponed".

Your Honor, in order to have promissory estoppel you have to have a clear promise, and a promise is an assurance that someone will or will not do something. GMAC Mortgage made no promises to claimant. And the statement allegedly made by Freddie Mac is a mere statement of fact and does not amount to a promise. Therefore the promissory estoppel claim fails, because claimant has failed to allege a clear promise.

THE COURT: So the promissory estoppel claim was dismissed with prejudice against Freddie Mac.

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, and INVEST VEGAS V. 21ST MORTGAGE CORP.

MR. BUTTERFIELD: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. BUTTERFIELD: Your Honor, there's a second prong to promissory estoppel. That's detrimental reliance. With respect to this element, claimant alleges that she refrained from pursing, and I'll quote, "other courses of action to stop the foreclosure sale", including filing for bankruptcy, exploring the possibility of refinancing or marketing or selling the property.

Your Honor, claimant allegedly spoke with Freddie Mac on July 3, 2012, the day of the trustee sale. Claimant has not alleged that she actually took any steps towards filing a bankruptcy that same day. For example, contacting a bankruptcy lawyer. Furthermore, the Trust does not believe that claimant's allegations that that same day she could have refinanced the loan or marketed or sold the property are credible.

Furthermore, claimant does not allege that GMAC

Mortgage or Freddie Mac instructed her to forego doing any of
these things in exchange for a loan modification. Therefore
the promissory estoppel claim fails, because claimant has
failed to allege detrimental reliance.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BUTTERFIELD: Turning now to negligence. Your Honor, it is the Trust's position that the negligence claim

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, and INVEST VEGAS V. 21ST MORTGAGE CORB.

must fail. First, GMAC had no duty to claimant. Second, even if GMAC did have a duty, it did not breach that duty.

Claimant alleges that GMAC Mortgage, and I'll quote,
"affirmatively frustrated the loan modification process by
constantly mishandling claimant's applications, failing to
provide a consistent point of contact to whom she could
communicate, and continuously providing conflicting information
with regards to her application status and the sale date of her
home".

As a preliminary matter, Your Honor, other than claimant's allegations with respect to the July 3rd conversation with the representative of Freddie Mac, the remainder of her allegations do not contain the specificity required by Twombly. These are bare allegations. Claimant does not allege any details about the constant mishandling, who she spoke with, who she tried to speak with and was unable to speak with. The conflicting information -- we don't have any conflicting information other than with respect to the allegations about the July 3rd conversation. As such, these allegations failed to move these claims from conceivable to probable, as required by Twombly.

Furthermore, while California courts recognize that there is a split of authority over whether a lender owes a duty of care once it agrees to consider a loan modification, the "overwhelming weight of authority" characterizes loan

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, and INVEST VEGAS V. 21ST MORTGAGE CORF.

modification as a traditional money lending activity that does not impose a duty of care on the lender. For example, in the Garcia case District Judge Phyllis Hamilton of the Northern District of California observed that "there is a split of authority" but ruled that in the absence of some guidance from the Ninth Circuit a loan servicer, like any other financial institution, owes no duty of care to a borrower in connection with the loan modification process.

Your Honor, in our reply we discuss two other

California decisions from the past eighteen months that have

followed the Garcia approach. On fact, highly similar to those
here today.

Therefore, Your Honor, it's the Trust's position that the claimant's negligence claims must fail. There's no duty.

And even if there was a duty, there's no breach of that duty.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Cate?

MR. CATE: Thank you, Your Honor. To respond, with respect to the collateral estoppel argument, I don't believe that the way the allegations in the third amended complaint are stated that GMAC and Freddie Mac are doing exactly the same thing, but GMAC's alleged to be the active participant here, and Freddie Mac's been alleged to be more of a passive participant. So I don't think that the collateral estoppel should hold for binding GMAC to the state court's earlier ruling with respect to Freddie Mac. Different allegations,

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, and INVEST VEGAS V. 21ST MORTGAGE CORP.

different parties.

With respect to the promissory estoppel claim and the third amended complaint, I think we laid it out pretty well. Paragraph 15, I go through the factors. There's a promise. It's alleged there's a promise between the parties participating in this public loan mortgage assistance program, that they're going to help these borrowers. GMAC has accepted participation in this program. They're promised to take money on behalf of the borrowers. They're communicating with the borrowers. I attached Exhibit A and, specifically, I guess, an e-mail from June 29, 2012. Those e-mails, I guess, while not a direct promise, are evidence of a promise or could be a promise if Keep Your Home California is deemed to be, like, a subagent of GMAC. I think there's a promise or indication of a promise there for sure.

With respect to the duty based claims, the negligence, there's new case law evolving on this point, and we've alleged in the third amended complaint a lot of different details of the scheme where GMAC is participating with Keep Your Home California to offer loan modification and work out assistance to borrowers. It's a complicated scheme. They're working together. They're sharing a computer system. They're sharing a communication system. They're transferring money within their own internal apparatus. It's not just a traditional lender-borrower relationship, as the Claims Trust argues. It's

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, and INVEST VEGAS V. 21ST MORTGAGE CORB. much more complicated than that. And we've alleged that and 1 2 shown details in the pleadings. So for those reasons I believe that the negligence 3 4 claim and also that the promissory estoppel claim pass muster 5 and at least on a preliminary basis should be allowed to 6 proceed to trial. 7 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Butterfield, do you want 8 to respond? 9 MR. BUTTERFIELD: Ben Butterfield, Morrison & 10 Foerster, on behalf of the Borrower Trust. 11 First point, Your Honor, is that the allegations in 12 the third amended complaint are made against both GMAC Mortgage 13 and Freddie Mac together as defendants. There are no 14 allegations in that complaint that identify GMAC's role in the 15 process as being any different from the role that Freddie Mac 16 played in the process. The allegations are made against them 17 jointly, and therefore we believe that any ruling on the 18 allegations against Freddie Mac should collaterally estop any 19 other claims against GMAC Mortgage. THE COURT: All right. I'm going to take the matter 20 21 under submission. 22 MR. BUTTERFIELD: Okay. 23 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 24 MR. WISHNEW: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Anything else this morning, Mr. Wishnew?

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, and INVEST VEGAS V. 21ST MORTGAGE CORP. MR. WISHNEW: That's it, Your Honor. MR. BUTTERFIELD: All right. THE COURT: All right. We're adjourned. Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Cate. MR. CATE: Thank you, Your Honor. (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 10:18 AM)

[1]	Py 20 01 20	00	l				
		20					
1							
2	INDEX						
3							
4	RULINGS						
5	PAGE LINE						
6	In adversary proceeding 16-01029 8 3						
7	21st Mortgage Corporation may file a summary						
8	judgment motion						
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
	eScribers, LLC (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net www.escribers.net						
	operations@escribers.net www.escribers.net						

CERTIFICATION I, Hana Copperman, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. Hana Copperman HANA COPPERMAN AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET**D 487 eScribers 700 West 192nd Street, Suite #607 New York, NY 10040 Date: April 20, 2016

13:10.23:14:18. Bank (1) Capital (1) 15:20 concluded (1) 22;15:15 6:23 5:3 # alleged (6) bankruptcy (5) care (3) 19:7 15:24;16:2,7 14:12;16:21,22; 6:8;8:11;14:7,13, conference (2) #9583 (1) **Case (7)** 17:5,17;18:1 13 2:3,4 2:7 allegedly (3) bare (1) 2:6;5:22;6:4;7:19; conflicting (4) 13:12,20;14:10 15:14 8:11:16:3:17:17 12:17;15:7,17,18 A alleges (2) barred (1) **Cate (8)** connection (1) 14:5;15:3 11:12 10:11,11,15,15; 16:7 absence (1) allowed (1) based (1) 16:16.17:19:5.6 consider (2) 16:5 11:4;15:24 18:5 17:16 CC (2) accepted (1) almost (1) basis (4) 2:4,7 consistent (1) 17:7 9:7 5:21;11:16;12:6; Century (1) 15:6 accordance (1) amended (8) 18:5 constant (1) 7:3 11:6 11:17,18,21;13:8; Behalf (5) certain (1) 15:15 acquired (2) 16:19;17:3,18;18:12 2:9;10:12,15;17:9; constantly (1) 7:17:6,8 amount (1) 18:10 Chapter (1) 15:5 acquisition (2) believes (1) 13:21 7:13 contact (1) 7:23,24 apparatus (1) 11:11 characterizes (1) 15:6 action (1) 17:24 Ben (2) contacted (1) 15:25 14:6 appearing (2) 10:2;18:9 check (1) 7:20 active (1) 5:6,14 Berkshire (2) 13:15 contacting (1) 16:21 applicable (1) 7:7,14 Circuit (1) 14:13 activity (1) 6:25 binding (1) 16:6 contain (1) 16:1 application (1) 16:24 **Claim (12)** 15:13 actually (2) 2:9;10:6;12:24; contend (1) 15:8 Borrower (5) 13:16:14:12 applications (1) 2:8;10:3;11:7; 13:3,5,22,24;14:21, 6:17 additional (1) 15:5 16:7;18:10 25;17:2;18:4,4 continuously (1) 11:5 Appreciate (1) borrowers (4) claimant (18) 15:7 address (2) 8:24 17:7.9.10.21 10:23:11:8.17: conversation (2) 12:23.24 approach (1) both (4) 12:8.11.14:13:8.11. 15:12.19 addressed (1) 16:11 11:12;12:8,17; 20,23;14:5,10,11,18, Copperman (1) 13:2 approached (1) 18:12 21;15:1,3,14 2:25 adjourned (1) 5:15 BRADSHAW (29) claimant's (7) Corp (1) 19:3 approved (1) 4:10;5:7,10,11,15, 11:11,16;13:1; 7:6 adjudicating (1) 14:15;15:5,11;16:14 **Corporation (5)** 7:8 17,21;6:6,10,20;7:4, 12:17 April (1) Claims (16) 4:4;5:5,12,13;7:5 10,16,19;8:2,5,12,19, admits (1) 11:17 21,24;9:3,5,7,10,13, 2:8:10:3,23,25; correctly (1) 12:11 argues (1) 15,17,20,24 11:1,3,4,12,16,19; 6:13 Adversary (3) 17:25 breach (2) 12:9:15:20:16:14: Counsel (3) 2:2;4:2;5:4 argument (2) 15:2;16:15 5:7;7:18;10:10 17:16,25;18:19 advised (1) 11:15:16:18 briefing (1) clear (5) courses (1) 13:13 asserted (2) 11:5 7:2;13:7,11,18,23 14:6 affirmatively (1) **COURT (69)** 10:23;11:19 briefly (1) collateral (6) 15:4 5:2,8,14,16,19,20, assistance (2) 11:14 11:1,13,14;12:6; against (7) **Building (1)** 16:18,23 22;6:4,5,7,8,8,9,12, 17:6,20 11:19;12:9;13:25; association (4) collaterally (1) 21,22,24;7:6,9,11,17, 4:5 18:12,16,18,19 6:14;7:1,25;8:17 **BUTTERFIELD (18)** 18:18 22;8:3,6,10,11,13,16, agrees (1) assurance (1) 10:2,3,5,8,17,18, communicate (1) 20,22;9:1,4,6,9,12, 15:24 13:18 21;12:1,20,23;14:1, 14,16,18,21;10:1,5,9, 15:7 ahead (4) attached (1) 3,24;18:7,9,9,22; communicating (1) 13,17,20,22,25;11:2, 5:16;10:20;12:22; 17:10 19:2 17:9 3,18,22,23,25;12:4, 14:2 Attorneys (1) communication (2) 10,13,14,19,22;13:1, Alexander- (1) \mathbf{C} 7:17;17:23 24;14:2,23;16:16; 4:4 10:15 complaint (9) 18:7,20,23,25;19:3 authority (3) Alexander-Kasparik (3) 15:23,25;16:5 11:17,19,21;13:8; California (11) courts (1) 2:10:10:7.12 16:19;17:3,18;18:12, automatic (3) 11:2,18,21,23; 15:22 Allan (2) Court's (2) 6:1,18;8:17 12:9;13:5;15:22; 14 10:11.15 Avenue (1) 16:4,10;17:13,20 complicated (2) 11:6;16:24 allegations (12) 4:6 call (3) 17:21;18:1 credible (1) 14:15;15:11,13,14, 9:10,15,18 computer (1) 14:17 19,20;16:19,25; B can (3) 17:22 18:11,14,16,18 5:24,25;12:6 conceivable (1) allege (5)

Case No. 12-12020-mg **April 19, 2016** 8:14 further (1) 15:10:16:9,13,17; 12:20 18:11.24:19:1.6 D \mathbf{E} F Furthermore (3) hook (1) 14:14.18:15:22 9:6 date (2) earlier (1) fact (3) 9:18;15:8 16:24 G Ι 5:24;13:21;16:11 early (1) dated (1) factors (1) 11:22 9:7 17:4 Garcia (2) identical (1) effect (2) day (6) facts (1) 16:3,11 12:12 8:14;12:2 8:25;9:25;13:13; 12:18 **GMAC (19)** identify (1) 14:11,13,15 effectively (1) fail (3) 11:3,19,24,25; 18:14 Deanna (2) 12:11 11:13;15:1;16:14 12:3.5:13:11.19: impose (1) 9:15,18 eighteen (1) failed (4) 14:18;15:1,2,3; 16:2 debtors' (1) 16:10 13:10,23;14:22; 16:20,24;17:7,14,19; including (1) 6:17 electronic (1) 15:20 18:12,19 14:7 December (1) 13:15 indication (1) failing (1) GMAC's (2) 11:24 element (1) 15:5 16:21;18:14 17:14 decide (1) 14:5 fails (2) information (3) Good (7) 8:16 else (1) 13:22;14:21 5:10;8:25;9:17,20, 15:7,17,18 decided (1) 18:25 federal (1) 24;10:2,11 Instead (1) 12:12 e-mail (1) grant (1) 13:11 6:7 decision (2) 17:11 Fifth (1) institution (1) 8:3 6:22,24 e-mails (1) 4:6 grounds (1) 16:7 decisions (1) 17:11 file (4) 11:1 instructed (1) Empire (1) 16:10 5:23;6:2;8:4,22 Guess (3) 14:19 declarant (1) 4:5 8:10;17:10,11 Filed (8) instructions (1) 10:19 entirely (1) 2:9;6:5;8:7;11:7,8, guidance (1) 11:7 deed (6) 13:10 17,20;13:9 16:5 interest (1) 6:15;7:7,8,13;8:1, **ESQ** (1) filing (2) 8:14 15 4:10 H 14:7,12 internal (1) deemed (1) essentially (3) financial (1) 17:24 17:13 7:25;13:4,6 16:6 Hamilton (1) Invest (5) defendant (2) estate (4) fine (1) 5:4,13,14;7:18,23 16:3 5:7,12 6:17;7:13,14;8:15 Hana (1) 9.9 **Investments (1)** defendants (1) estop (1) 2:25 finished (1) 6:23 18:18 18:13 9:7 issue (3) Hang (1) demur (2) estoppel (19) firm (1) 5:24:6:13.13 9:21 11:20,25 10:24;11:1,12,13, issues (3) 5:11 Hathaway (2) details (3) 14,20;12:7,24;13:2, first (5) 12:12,15,17 7:7.15 15:15;17:18;18:2 6,17,22,24;14:4,21; 5:4:9:11:12:23: Hearing (5) detrimental (3) 16:18,23;17:2;18:4 J 15:1;18:11 2:7;7:21;9:11,18; 13:7;14:4,22 Even (3) Foerster (2) 10:21 DIANE (2) 12:4;15:1;16:15 10:3;18:10 HELFAND (4) January (1) 4:10:5:10 evidence (2) followed (2) 10:21 4:3,3;5:11,11 Different (4) 5:25;17:12 joined (1) 11:9;16:11 **help** (1) 16:25;17:1,18; evolving (1) foreclose (1) 11:25 17:7 18:15 17:17 7:24 highly (1) jointly (1) direct (1) exactly (2) foreclosure (9) 16:11 18:17 17:12 8:19;16:20 6:13,14,16,18;7:1, hold (1) Judge (1) discuss (1) example (2) 12,24;8:14;14:7 16:3 16:24 16:9 14:13:16:2 forego (1) home (3) judgment (4) dismissed (3) exchange (1) 14:19 15:9:17:13,19 5:24;6:3;8:4;11:3 10:25;11:2;13:25 14:20 Freddie (16) homeowner (2) judicata (2) district (3) Excuse (1) 11:19,20;12:5,9; 11:1;12:2 7:25;8:16 6:8;16:3,4 7:4 13:12,21,25;14:10, homeowner's (2) judicial (1) Exhibit (1) **Doc** (3) 19;15:12;16:20,22, 6:14;7:1 12:16 2:4,7,7 17:10 25;18:13,15,18 **July (4) Honor** (38) docket (4) exists (1) free (1) 5:10;6:6,11,20; 13:13;14:11; 11:8,9,10;12:10 5:24 7:2 7:4,16;8:5,12,21,24; 15:11,19 duty (10) exploring (1) frustrated (1) 9:24;10:2,8,11,18, June (1) 15:1,2,2,23;16:2,7, 14:8 15:4 21;11:6,11,16,23; 17:11 extinguished (1) 14,15,15;17:16 fully (1) 12:1,8,14,25;13:8, jurisdiction (1) 6:15 12:8 17;14:1,3,10,25; 5:22 extinguishing (1)

	marketed (1)	Nevada (7)	15:23;16:7	13:25
K	14:16	6:5,9,22,24,25;8:8,	own (1)	preliminary (3)
	marketing (1)	11	17:24	12:25;15:10;18:5
Kasparik (2)	14:8	New (3)		Pre-motion (1)
10:9,16	matter (6)	4:8;5:18;17:17	P	2:4
Keep (2)	5:4,6,17;12:25;	next (1)		present (1)
17:13,19	15:10;18:20	9:8	P3d (1)	5:25
	matters (1)	Ninth (1)	6:23	Pre-trial (1)
${f L}$	9:4	16:6	papers (2)	2:3
	mere (1)	nonjudicial (1)	7:11;8:6	pretty (1)
laid (1)	13:21	7:1	paragraph (2)	17:3
17:3	merits (4)	Northern (1)	12:10;17:4	prior (2)
Lathrop (1)	11:4,13,15;12:21	16:3	participant (2)	7:2,25
10:19	microphone (1)	note (4)	16:21,23	probable (1)
law (3)	5:8	6:15;7:12;9:21;	participating (2)	15:21
6:25;13:5;17:17	minute (5)	13:1	17:6,19	proceed (1)
lawyer (1)	11:22,24;12:2,4,11	notified (2)	participation (1)	18:6
14:14	mishandling (2)	7:21,21	17:8	Proceeding (2)
least (1)	15:5,15	November (2)	parties (3)	2:2;5:4
18:5	modification (6)	6:14;7:12	11:5;17:1,5	proceedings (2)
leave (3)	14:20;15:4,24;	number (4)	pass (1)	5:12;19:7
5:23;6:2;8:3	16:1,8;17:20	5:5;11:8,9,10	18:4	process (4)
lender (2)	money (3)	NY (1)	passive (1)	15:4;16:8;18:15,
15:23;16:2	16:1;17:8,23	4:8	16:22	16
lender-borrower (1)	months (1)	0	past (1)	program (2)
17:25	16:10	0	16:10	17:6,8
lending (1)	more (4)		Pause (1)	promise (13)
16:1	9:22;10:14;16:22;	Objection (8)	8:9	13:7,11,18,18,22,
lien (4)	18:1	2:8,9;10:6,22;	perfectly (2)	23;17:4,5,12,12,12
6:15,15;7:25;8:17	morning (5)	11:8;13:3,9,10	6:10,20	14,14
liens (1)	5:4,10;10:2,11;	objections (1)	permit (1)	promised (1)
7:2	18:25	13:2	12:14	17:8
litigated (1)	Morrison (2)	observed (1)	phone (3)	promises (1)
12:8	10:3;18:9	16:4	10:9,10,19	13:20
little (1)	Mortgage (20)	occurred (1)	Phyllis (1)	promissory (13)
6:21	4:4;5:5,12,13;6:7;	7:12	16:3	10:23;11:12,20;
LLC (2)	7:2,3,5,6;11:3,24;	October (1)	plaintiff (1)	12:23;13:2,6,17,22
5:5;6:23	12:3,5;13:11,19;	11:22	13:6	24;14:4,21;17:2; 18:4
loan (9)	14:19;15:3;17:6; 18:12,19	off (1) 9:6	played (1)	
14:16,20;15:4,24,	motion (4)		18:16	prong (1) 14:3
25;16:6,8;17:6,20	5:23;6:2;8:4,23	offer (1)	plead (1)	
look (1)		17:20	13:6	Proof (1) 2:9
9:22	move (1) 15:20	once (1) 15:24	pleadings (2) 13:1;18:2	
lost (1)	much (4)	one (5)	Please (2)	property (7) 6:1,16,17;7:13;
12:10	9:23;18:1,23;19:4	5:15;8:7;9:21,22;	5:2,9	8:15;14:9,16
lot (1)		10:13	point (6)	provide (1)
17:18	must (3) 13:6;15:1;16:14	order (7)	5:25;6:2;7:15;	15:6
lots (1)	muster (1)	11:22,24;12:2,4,5,	15:6;17:17;18:11	providing (1)
8:7	18:4	11:22,24,12.2,4,3,	Pool (1)	15:7
3.6	18.4	orders (1)	6:23	public (1)
\mathbf{M}	N	12:17	portion (1)	17:6
	11	originally (1)	13:3	pursing (1)
Mac (15)	NA (1)	6:4	position (5)	14:6
11:19,20;12:6,9;	NA (1) 6:23	out (3)	7:3,23;8:2;14:25;	pursuant (1)
13:12,21,25;14:10,		7:25;17:3,20	16:13	5:22
19;15:12;16:20,25;	name (1)			J.44
18:13,15,18	10:13	over (1) 15:23	possibility (1)	Q
Mac's (1)	need (1)		14:8	V
16:22	9:10	overall (1)	postponed (2)	anita (1)
Main (1)	negligence (9)	5:22	13:14,16	quite (1)
2:6	10:23;11:12,20;	overwhelming (1)	potentially (1)	9:6
maintain (1)	12:24;14:24,25; 16:14;17:16;18:3	15:25 owes (2)	12:16 prejudice (1)	quote (4) 12:12;13:14;14:6;
13:5		LOWES L.Z.)	- oremaice (L)	1 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 6 1

15:3	6:21	16:6	Supreme (2)	turn (3)
	Residential (1)	SFR (1)	6:22,24	7:7;11:15;12:21
R	5:3	6:22	sure (3)	Turning (1)
	resources (1)	sharing (2)	6:12;13:15;17:15	14:24
ead (2)	12:16	17:22,22	sustained (2)	two (7)
6:22;8:7	respect (7)	show (1)	10:22;11:22	10:22;11:1,4,19;
eady (1)	14:5;15:11,18;	5:25	system (3)	12:16;13:6;16:9
8:22	16:18,25;17:2,16	shown (1)	13:15;17:22,23	Twombly (2)
easons (1)	respond (2)	18:2	_	15:14,21
18:3	16:17;18:8	similar (1)	\mathbf{T}	
ecently (1)	response (2)	16:11		\mathbf{U}
5:17	11:8;12:10	sold (1)	Thanks (1)	
ecognize (1)	responses (1)	14:16	10:5	unable (1)
15:22	13:9	someone (1)	Therefore (7)	15:16
ecorded (2)	result (1)	13:19	12:2,6;13:3,22;	uncontested (1)
7:2;8:1	12:16	sorry (3)	14:20;16:13;18:17	13:4
eferred (1)	retained (1)	7:6;9:3,5	third (7)	under (4)
6:8	5:22	speak (2)	11:17,18,21;	6:18,25;13:5;
efinanced (1)	Right (15)	15:16,17	16:19;17:3,18;18:12	18:21
14:16	5:20;6:4,21;8:3,	specifically (1)	though (1)	Unlike (1)
efinancing (1)	22;9:5;10:1,9,13,17,	17:10	12:4	10:25
14:8	20;18:7,20;19:2,3	specificity (1)	three (1)	up (1)
efrained (1)	role (2)	15:13	13:8	5:8
14:5	18:14,15	split (2)	times (1)	2.0
egard (1)	Rosalind (4)	15:23;16:4	13:9	\mathbf{V}
10:6	2:10;10:6,12,15	spoke (2)	today (3)	V
Regarding (1)	ruled (1)	14:10;15:16	10:19;12:13;16:12	vacated (3)
2:7	16:5	State (3)	today's (1)	11:2,23;12:4
egards (1)	ruling (2)	4:5;6:5;16:24	7:21	valid (1)
15:8	16:25;18:17	stated (3)	together (2)	12:5
elationship (1)	10.23,18.17	8:13;11:4;16:20	17:22;18:13	
17:25	S	statement (3)	took (1)	Vegas (2)
		13:12,20,21	14:12	5:5,14 Vagas's (2)
eliance (3)	cala (12)			Vegas's (2)
13:7;14:4,22	sale (12)	status (1)	towards (1)	7:18,23
elies (1)	6:13,17;7:8,12,24;	15:8	14:12	violated (3)
13:11	8:17;13:14,14,16;	statutory (1)	traditional (2)	6:1,18;8:17
elitigate (1)	14:7,11;15:8	6:25	16:1;17:24	**7
12:15	same (6)	stay (3)	Transcribed (1)	\mathbf{W}
emainder (1)	12:15,17,18;14:13,	6:1,18;8:17	2:25	1.1
15:13	15;16:20	steps (1)	transfer (2)	waste (1)
emained (1)	Sara (1)	14:12	5:21;6:1	12:15
7:13	10:18	still (1)	transferred (5)	way (1)
emaining (2)	saw (1)	12:5	5:18,18;6:9;7:14,	16:19
11:16;12:9	8:6	stop (1)	20	week (1)
emember (1)	schedule (2)	14:6	transferring (2)	9:8
8:6	9:4,10	story (1)	8:11;17:23	weight (1)
emoved (2)	scheme (2)	6:10	triable (1)	15:25
5:18;6:7	17:19,21	subagent (1)	5:24	Whereupon (1)
eply (2)	seated (1)	17:13	trial (7)	19:7
11:9;16:9	5:2	subject (1)	11:2,18,21,23;	whole (1)
epresent (1)	second (3)	6:16	12:4,10;18:6	6:10
5:11	9:21;14:3;15:1	submission (1)	tried (1)	Who's (2)
epresentative (2)	Section (2)	18:21	15:16	5:6;6:9
13:12;15:12	6:19;8:18	substance (1)	trust (14)	wiped (1)
equested (1)	security (1)	8:13	6:15;7:7,8,13;8:1,	7:25
11:5	8:14	Suite (1)	15;10:4;11:7,9,11;	Wishnew (4)
equired (2)	seek (2)	4:7	12:25;14:14;17:25;	10:1;18:24,25;
	5:23;6:2	summary (3)	18:10	19:1
		5:23;6:3;8:4	trustee (2)	within (1)
15:14,21	Selling ())	./.4./.U.J.U.T	u usuc (4)	** 1 L11111 \ 1 <i>J</i>
es (2)	selling (1)		13.14.14.11	
es (2) 11:1;12:2	14:9	Supplemental (3)	13:14;14:11 Trust's (7)	17:23
es (2)			13:14;14:11 Trust's (7) 2:8;10:6,22;13:2,	

Case No. 12-12020-mg	9		April 19, 2016
17:21	6:19;8:18		
Y	363 (1) 7:8		
	3695 (2)		
York (1) 4:8	2:9;10:6 3rd (2)		
-	15:11,19		
0	4		
01029 (1)			
5:6	408 (1) 6:23		
1	5		
1(1)			
6:23 10:18 (1)	5330 (1) 4:7		
19:7	56 (1) 2:4		
10118 (1) 4:8			
11 (1) 7:14	9		
12 (1)	9644 (2)		
12:10 12-12020 (2)	11:9;12:11 9669 (2)		
5:3,23	2:7;11:10		
14 (3) 6:14;7:12;11:17	9853 (1) 11:8		
15 (1) 17:4			
16- (1)			
5:5 16-01029 (1)			
2:2			
2			
2012 (5)			
6:14;7:12;13:13; 14:11;17:11			
2014 (1) 6:24			
2015 (3)			
11:18,22,24 21st (9)			
4:4;5:5,11,13;6:7;			
7:3,4,6;10:22 23 (1)			
11:22 29 (1)			
17:11			
3			
3 (2)			
13:13;14:11 31 (1)			
11:24			
334 (1) 6:23			
350 (1)			
4:6 362a4 (2)			
-			